

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

R. P. Bhate, Director
Durwankur Mechanical Works Pvt. Ltd.
Kakoda Industrial Estate,
Shed No. D4/4, Kakoda,
Goa 403 706

...

Appellant

V/s
Public Information Officer,
GIDC, Plot No. 13A-2, EDC Complex,
Patto Plaza, Panaji, Goa.

...

Respondent

Complaint No. 04/2006/GIDC/1215

U/s 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (Central Act 22 of 2005)

CORAM: Shri A. Venkataratnam, State Chief Information Commissioner(SCIC)
Shri G. G. Kambli State Information Commissioner (SIC)

(Per A Venkataratnam)

Complainant in person
Respondent in person

ORDER
(Dated July 7th, 2006)

This disposes of the letter dated 13/4/06 of the Complainant regarding certain information requested by him from the Respondent is not given to him and that the information given by the Respondent finally is false information.

The matter has not been placed before First Authority. We have treated this as complaint and issued notice to the Respondent, who by his reply submitted that whatever information requested by the Complainant was supplied and there is still some more correspondence which is to be replied and which they will do so. Regarding the specific complaint that the information supplied by the Respondent by his letter no 8615 in response to the letter 41 dt 20-02-06 of the complainant is false, the respondent denied that there is any false reply.

The grievances of the Complainant is that Shri Chimulkar working as the general manager of the IDC told him that the Respondent has adopted the formula of PWD while calculating the depreciated value of the sheds while allotting the sheds by the Respondent. The complainant states that on inquiry from the PWD, he was told that that the valuation of the sheds is done by the Respondent themselves. The complainants finds that both the statements are contradictory. During the time of oral hearing it is argued by the Respondent is that though they have adopted the formula of PWD for valuing the sheds constructed by them, once it is adopted by the Board of Respondent it becomes their own formula and that they have taken the PWD valuation only as a guide. We do not see any contradiction in the above statement. Complaint is therefore, dismissed.

(A. VENKATARATNAM)

State Chief Information Commissioner

(G. G. KAMBLI)

State Information Commissioner.