## GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION AT PANAJI

CORAM: Shri M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

.....

Complaint No. 145/SCIC/2010

Shri Kashinath Shetye, Bambino Building, Alto-Fondvem, Ribandar, Tiswadi – Goa.

Complainant.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Dy. Director of Panchayats, Junta House, Panaji - Goa.

Opponent/Respondent.

Complainant in person.

Opponent in person.

## ORDER (28-04-2010)

- 1. The Complainant, Shri Kashinath Shetye, has filed this Complaint praying that information as requested be furnished to him correctly free of cost as per section 7(6) and as per the circular and annexures I to V; that penalty be imposed; that compensation may be granted for the detriment faced by the Complainant and that the inspection of documents may be allowed as per rules.
- 2. The gist of the Complainant's case is that Complainant had filed an application dated 14/01/2010 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 ('RTI' Act for short) thereby requesting the Public Information Officer, Department of Information and Technology to issue certain information. That the same was transferred as per section 6(3) of the RTI Act to the Opponent. It is the case of the Complainant that Public Information Officer/Opponent failed to furnish the required information as per the application of the Complainant and further no inspection of information was allowed and hence, the present Complaint on the grounds as set out in the Complaint.

3. In pursuance of notice issued the Opponent remained present and

he submitted that the information has been furnished.

4. Heard the Complainant as well as the Opponent. According to the

Complainant he has received the information and he does not have any

grievance. The Opponent submitted information has been furnished.

I have carefully gone through the records of the case. It is seen

that by letter dated 14/01/2010, the Complainant sought certain

information from Public Information Officer, Information and Technology.

It is seen that Public Information Officer, Department of Information and

Technology transferred the said application under section 6(3) of the RTI

Act, 2005 with a request to give suitable reply to the point at Sr. No. 3.

Copy of the said letter was addressed to the Complainant. It is seen that

by letter dated 11/2/2010, the Opponent herein wrote to the Complainant

to collect the information by paying Rs.56/-. It is seen that this letter is in

time.

The Complainant states that he has received the information. He is

satisfied with the same. It is seen that the Complainant has no grievance.

It is also seen that the reply was given within 30 days time. Therefore,

question of penal action does not arise.

5. Since the information has been furnished, the Complaint appears to

be premature and hence, I pass the following Order: -

"No further intervention of this Commission is required. The

Complaint is accordingly disposed off."

Pronounced in the Commission on this 28<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2010.

Sd/-(M. S. Keny)

State Chief Information Commissioner