## GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION AT PANAJI

CORAM: Shri M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

Appeal No. 01/2010/CIC

Jacinto M. Sequeira, Bonglo De Jacinto, Tivim – Auchut Vaddo, Bardez, Goa – 403 502.

..... Appellant.

V/s.

 First Appellate Authority, Addl. Collector (N), Collector Office, Panaji - Goa.

Public Information Officer,
 Dy. Collector & SDO,
 Bardez, Mapusa – Goa.

..... Respondents.

Appellant in person.

Respondent No. 2 in person.

## JUDGEMENT (18-03-2010)

- 1. The Appellant, Jacinto M. Sequeira, has preferred this Appeal praying that required information be furnished; that maximum penalty be imposed and compensation be granted.
- 2. The brief facts leading to the present Appeal are as under: -

That the Appellant filed an application dated 8/3/2009. That the Public Information Officer failed to furnish the complete information. That the Appellant preferred First Appeal. Even after directions were issued the Appellant failed to furnish the information.

Being aggrieved the Appellant has preferred the present Appeal on various grounds which are set out in the Memo of Appeal.

3. The Respondents resist the Appeal and their say is on record. It is the case of the Respondent that application dated 8/3/2009 was duly

replied on 6/4/2009. That the Respondent forwarded the Complaint of the Appellant to the Mamlatdar of Bardez Taluka to file checklist if the constructions found without conversion sanad. However, the Respondent has not received any reply from the said office. That the Respondent also wrote to Administrator of Communidade, North Zone, Mapusa. By letter dated 7/8/2009 the Respondent informed the Appellant that Talathi of Thivim Saza has filed the checklist's in the property bearing survey No. 347/2 and 343/14 of Village Tivim and that the Respondent has issued show cause notice. That the Appellant has collected certified copies of checklists which were available in the office of Respondent. In short according to the Respondent the information which was available has been provided. Regarding the directions of First Appellate Authority according to the Respondent he has not received any checklist till date.

- 4. Heard the Appellant and Respondent No. 2 and perused the case papers. It is seen that application is dated 8/3/2009 wherein the Appellant is asking about action taken on his letter dated 10/12/2008. By letter dated 6/4/2009, the Appellant was informed about the same. This reply is in time. It is seen that certified copies of some checklists are also provided. I have seen the Order of First Appellate Authority. As per the same it is mentioned that whatever information available was supplied and the Appellant was satisfied and Appellant stated that he will approach Addl. Collector for additional information when the information is sent by the Mamlatdar. Accordingly, proceedings were closed.
- 5. During the course of the arguments, it transpired that Appellant was furnished information that was asked and that too in time. However, so far Mamlatdar has not sent the report. Whatever information was with

Respondent No. 2 has been given. The Appellant agrees to the same. He also states that he is satisfied with the information received and has no

grievance.

6. In view of the above, I pass the following Order: -

<u>ORDER</u>

The Appellant has received the information. No further intervention

of this Commission is called for.

In case the Appellant wants any information from the Mamlatdar

the Appellant is free to seek the same. The concerned authority to deal

with the same strictly in accordance with RTI Act having regard to time

schedule.

The Appeal is accordingly disposed off.

Pronounced in this Commission on this 18<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2010.

Sd/-

(M. S. Keny)

State Chief Information Commissioner