

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

AT PANAJI

CORAM: Shri. M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

Second Appeal No. 110/2009

Shri Franky Monteiro,
H. No. 501, Devote,
Loutolim,
Salcete – Goa

... Appellant.

V/s.

1) The Public Information Officer,
Goa Coastal Zone Management Authority,
Opp. Saligao Seminary,
Saligao, Bardez – Goa

... Respondent No. 1

2) The First Appellate Authority
The Member Secretary,
Goa Coastal Zone Management Authority,
Saligao, Bardez – Goa

... Respondent No. 2

Appellant present in person.

Respondent No. 1 absent.

Respondent No. 2 present in person.

ORDER

(11/12/2009)

1. The Appellant, Franky Monteiro, has preferred this appeal praying that Respondent No. 1 and 2 be directed to furnish forthwith certified copies as sought, for appropriate action for causing harassment, stress and delay and also for penalty.

2. The facts of the case are fully set out in the Memo of Appeal which is on record. In short it is the case of the Appellant that he

...2/-

carried out inspection of certain files, under the Right to Information Act ('R.T.I' for short), which was allowed by Public information Officer. Subsequently the Appellant by application dated 07.08.2009, 25.08.2009 and 26.08.2009 sought certain certified copies under the R.T.I. Act. However Respondent No. 1 failed to issue the same and no reply was also sent/given though thirty days elapsed. The Appellant thereafter preferred an Appeal before First Appellate Authority ('F.A.A.' for short) however, Respondent No. 2 failed to reply nor disposed off the Appeal within prescribed period.

Being aggrieved the Appellant has landed in this Commission by the present Appeal.

3. Notices were served on the Respondents and in pursuance of the notice Respondent No. 2 appeared. Respondents did not file any reply as such.

4. During the hearing the Respondent No. 2 submitted that he is ready and willing to give whatever information/documents sought by the Appellant, and that Appellant should identify the same. The Appellant agreed to the suggestion. According to the Appellant if information is given he is satisfied and does not wish to press the other reliefs. The Respondent No. 2 on his part agreed to supply copies as asked by the Appellant.

5. In view of the above no further intervention from the part of the Commission regarding other reliefs is called for. Hence the order:

ORDER

Appellant to identify the documents and the Respondent No. 2 to provide the information/documents as sought/asked by the Appellant vide application dated 07.08.2009, 25.08.2009 and 26.08.2009 within fifteen days from the date the Appellant identifies the same.

The other reliefs dismissed as not pressed for.

The Appeal is accordingly disposed off.

Pronounced at Panaji on 11th December, 2009.

Sd/-
(M. S. Keny)
State Chief Information Commissioner