

GOA INFORMATION COMMISSION

Ground Floor, "Shrama Shakti Bhavan", Patto Plaza, Panaji.

Appeal No. 231/SCIC/2008

Shri. Chandrakant D. Tarte,
H. No. 2/55/G/11/F-8, 1st Floor,
Gajraj Vihar, Lake Road Vaddem,
Vasco-da-Gama – Goa.

..... Appellant.

V/s.

1. Public Information Officer,
The Asst. Registrar of Co-operative Societies,
South Zone, Margao – Goa
2. First Appellate Authority,
The Registrar of Co-operative Societies,
Panaji - Goa.

..... Respondents.

CORAM:

Shri A. Venkataratnam
State Chief Information Commissioner

(Per A. Venkataratnam)

Dated: 31/12/2008.

Appellant present in person.

ORDER

The Appellant has submitted an application under section 6(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (for short the RTI Act) to the Public Information Officer of the office of the President of India on 11/10/2007. This was sent to the Public Information Officer of Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India which subsequently sent to the Chief Secretary of Goa on 12/11/2007 and to the Registrar of Co-operative Societies on 28/12/2007. Finally it landed up in the Asst. Registrar of Co-operative Societies office at Margao, Public Information Officer concerned, Respondent No. 1 herein, on 9/1/2008. On 11th January, 2008, the Appellant was called to the Asst. Registrar Co-operative's office to remain present on 18/01/2008 for inspection of documents. It is not known whether the Appellant has called on the office. Meanwhile, there is another letter sent by the Under Secretary (Revenue), Goa Government on 14/02/2008 to the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Respondent No.2 herein enclosing certain documents. A copy of which was also endorsed to the Appellant. The letters were forwarded also to the Respondent No. 1 by the Respondent No. 2 office on 16/5/2008. A

...2/-

mention has been made now by the Appellant to this letter which is said to have dealing with an enquiry report. By this second appeal filed on 22/11/2008, the Appellant is asking for the enquiry report.

2. Notice was issued to the Appellant who represented himself, Respondents were not issued notice and were not heard, as no orders were passed by them and the request for information is disposed by them.

3. From the various letters enclosed by the Appellant, it is not clear exactly what he wants. For the reasons the Public Information Officer has called him to his office. Meanwhile, the Public Information Officer is directed to find out whether there is any enquiry report forwarded by the Under Secretary (Revenue) by his letter dated 14/02/2008. By this letter, the Under Secretary has enclosed all the documents. The document No. 3 is regarding the details of Samarth Co-operative Housing Society, Shantinagar, Vasco-da-Gama – Goa, the document No. 4 relates to the plan of the Society, the documents No. 5, 6 and 7 are 3 documents of correspondence. The subject matter of this letter mentions "enquiry regarding the letter from the Ministry of Home Affairs". It is this report which the Appellant is asking to Public Information Officer to give to him. The Public Information Officer is, therefore, directed to give this enquiry report to the Appellant within 10 days from the date of this order. If there is no report, the same is to be informed the Appellant.

4. The appeal is disposed off accordingly.

Pronounced in the open court on this 31st day of December, 2008.

Sd/-
(A. Venkataratnam)
State Chief Information Commissioner

