

**GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
AT PANAJI**

CORAM: Shri. M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

Complaint No. 88/SCIC/2012

Mrs. Joanita @ Severina Fernandes,
C/o. 309, 3rd Floor,
Damodar Phase-2, Near Police Station,
Margao – Goa Complainant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,
Dy. Collector & SDO Salcete,
Margao – Goa ... Opponent.

Adv. A. Dessai for Complainant.

Shri Kush Sawant, representative of Opponent.

ORDER
(19.07.2012)

1. The Complainant, Smt. Joanita @ Severina Fernandes, has filed the present Complaint praying that the Opponent/Public Information Officer be directed to provide forthwith information to RTI application dated 23.02.2012 and that penalty be imposed on the Opponent/PIO under Section 20 of the RTI Act for not providing the information in time.

2. The brief facts leading to the present Complaint are as under:-

That the Complainant, vide application dated 22.03.2012 sought certain information under Right to Information Act, 2005 ('R.T.I. Act' for short) from the Public Information Officer ('P.I.O.)/Opponent. That the Opponent failed to provide the information within 30 days stipulated for providing the same. That the Opponent has denied and or refused the information. Hence, the present Complaint.

3. The Opponent has filed the reply which is on record. In short it is the case of the Opponent that Complainant vide letter dated 19.04.2012 was directed to remain present to take relevant copies sought by her. However, the Complainant failed to remain present to collect the required documents. That there is no denial of information to the Complainant and that the information is kept ready and the Complainant can collect the same at any

time. That vide letter dated 02.07.2012 the Opponent/PIO once again directed the Complainant to collect information as required by her free of cost. However the letter addressed to the Complainant returned back with postal remark "Refused – Return to Sender" which means that the Complainant failed to acknowledge the said letter. According to the Opponent the Complaint is liable to be dismissed.

4. Heard the Complainant as well as Shri Kush Sawant, representative of the Opponent. During the course of hearing the full information was furnished. Thereupon the learned Adv. Shri A. Dessai for Complainant filed an application to withdraw the Complaint. In short information is furnished. The Complainant also has no grievance of any sort.

5. Since information is furnished no intervention of this Commission is required. Hence, I pass the following Order:-

ORDER

No intervention of this Commission is required as information is furnished. The Complaint is disposed off.

The Complaint is accordingly disposed off.

Pronounced in the Commission on this 19th day of July, 2012.

Sd/-
(M. S. Keny)
State Chief Information Commissioner

