

**GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
AT PANAJI**

CORAM: Shri M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

Complaint No.63/SIC/2012

Shri Francisco A. Soares,
R/o.H. No.1015, 1st Bairro,
Near St. Cruz P.O.
Santa Cruz, Tiswadi-Goa

... Complainant

V/s.

The Dy. Director of Fisheries &
Public Information Officer,
Directorate of Fisheries,
Govt. of Goa,
Panaji-Goa

... Opponent

Complainant present
Opponent present

ORDER
(29/06/2012)

1. The Complainant, Shri Francisco A. Soares, has filed the present complaint praying that the P.I.O. be directed to immediately furnish certified copy/copies of the said information and that penalty as deemed fit be imposed on the P.I.O.

2. The brief facts leading to the present complaint are as under:-

That the Complainant, vide application dated 21/2/2012, sought certain information under Right to Information Act ('RTI' Act for short) from the Public Information Officer (P.I.O.)/Opponent. That though over 30 days have elapsed, since the said letter dated 21/2/2012 has

been duly acknowledged by the said Director of Fisheries, the Complainant is yet to hear in the matter. Being aggrieved the complainant has filed the present complaint.

3. The opponent resists the complaint and the reply of the opponent is on record. In short it is the case of the opponent that the present complaint does not fall within the ambit of Sec.18 of the R.T.I. Act and hence ought to be dismissed. That the complainant cannot prefer complaint in the present case as the Complainant has not been refused access to any information requested under the Act. That he has been given response to a request for information within the specific time limit. That the opponent vide letter dated 16/3/2012 informed the complainant to collect the information. That the complainant came to their office on 27/3/2012 and has collected the required information. That the complainant has filed the present complaint without any valid grounds and that complaint be dismissed.

4. Heard the parties and perused the records. It is seen that the complainant vide application dated 21/2/2012 sought certain information consisting of two items i.e. Sr. No.1 and 2. By letter dated 16/03/2012 the P.I.O. informed the complainant that the information is ready and to collect the same on paying the required amount. I have seen the copy of the application produced by opponent. There is endorsement to the effect of having received the copy. Strangely the complaint was filed on 27/3/2012.

During the course of arguments the complainant states that he has received the information and that he has no grievance of any sort.

5. Since information is furnished, no intervention of this Commission is required. Hence I pass the following order.:-

ORDER

No intervention of this Commission is required as information is furnished. The complaint is disposed off.

The complaint is accordingly disposed off.

Pronounced in the Commission on this 29th day of June, 2012.

Sd/-
(M. S. Keny)
State Chief Information
Commissioner