GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION AT PANAJI

CORAM: Shri M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

Complaint No.144/SCIC/2011

Shri C. S. Barreto, R/o.H. No.206, Mazalwaddo, Assagao, Bardez-Goa

... Complainant

V/s.

The Public Information Officer, Dy. Director of Panchayat, North, Junta Building, 3rd Floor, 3rd lift, Panaji-Goa

... Opponent

Complainant present Opponent absent

ORDER (19/06/2012)

1. The Complainant, Shri C. S. Barretto has filed the present complaint praying that the opponent be directed to immediately furnish information to the complainant as sought by him in terms of sec.18 sub sec.(c) of the Right to Information Act and that opponent be penalized as per law.

2. The brief facts leading to the present complaint are as under:-

That the complainant filed an application seeking certain information under Right to Information Act, 2005 ('R.T.I. Act for short') from the Public Information Officer(P.I.O.)/opponent. That the opponent furnished the reply vide letter dated 11/8/2011. It is the case of the complainant that though over 30 days have lapsed complainant has not heard from the opponent in respect of the letter dated 24/8/2011 wherein the complainant has requested the opponent to furnish with some information which was to be rectified. Since the same was not furnished the complainant has preferred the present complaint.

3. In pursuance of the notice, the opponent did not remain present. In any case, I am proceeding on the basis of record.

4. Heard the Complainant and perused the records. It is seen that the complainant vide letter dated nil sought certain information. The opponent by reply dated 11/8/2011 furnished the information. By letter dated 24/8/2011 the complainant sought some additional information. The grievance of the complainant is that the documents sought in the application dated 24/8/2011 are not furnished. The present complaint is precisely for this purpose. It is seen that information sought in the initial application is furnished. This additional information in case the complainant wants to have the same, he will have to file the application afresh.

Since information in respect of original application is furnished, no intervention of this commission is required.

5. Coming to the aspect of delay. There is no date on the application but it appears the same was inwarded on 26/7/2011 and the reply has been furnished by the P.I.O./Opponent on 11/8/2011 therefore the same is in time. Since information is furnished in time, the question of delay does not arise.

6. In view of all the above, I pass the following order.:-

2

<u>O R D E R</u>

No intervention of this Commission is required since information is furnished. The complaint is disposed off.

The complaint is accordingly disposed off.

Pronounced in the Commission on this 19th day of June, 2012.

Sd/-(**M. S. Keny**) State Chief Information Commissioner