

**GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION  
AT PANAJI**

**CORAM:** Shri M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

**Complaint No.49/SIC/2012**

Shri Rudresh Naik  
Radha Bldg., 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor,  
Bernard Guedes Road  
Near Market, Panaji – Goa ... Complainant

**V/s**

The Public Information Officer,  
Office of Registrar of Co-operative Societies.,  
Opp. Market Complex,  
Goa Sahakari Bldg.,  
Panaji – Goa ... Opponent

Complainant absent.  
Opponent absent.  
Shri S. K. Phadte, representative of opponent present.

**ORDER**  
(07/05/2012)

1. This is a complaint filed by Shri Rudresh Naik praying that the opponent be directed to furnish the information sought by the complainant in accordance with his application dated 22/12/2011; that disciplinary action be initiated against the opponent and that penalty be imposed on the opponent for malafidely denying the request and obstructing furnishing the information.

2. It is the case of the complainant that, vide his application dated 22/12/2011, the complainant sought certain information under Right to Information Act, 2005 ('R.T.I. Act for short) from the Public Information Officer(P.I.O.)/opponent. That the opponent failed to furnish the information to the complainant as the opponent has not given any response to the request of the complainant within the specified period. Being aggrieved the complainant has filed the present complaint.

3. In pursuance of the notice issued, Shri S. K. Phadte, representative of the opponent remained present. The application signed by the complainant was also produced.

4. According to the representative of the opponent, the information is furnished. The complainant in the application filed today states that the information as required in the application dated 22/12/2011 has been provided by the opponent on 12/4/2012. The complainant also seeks permission of this Commission to withdraw this complaint.

5. Heard the representative of the opponent and also perused the records. It is seen that application seeking information was filed on 22/12/2011. Since information was not furnished, the present complaint was filed. It is now the case of the complainant that information has been furnished and that he wants to withdraw the complaint. No doubt there is some delay in furnishing the information. However since the complainant wants to withdraw the complaint, it would not be proper to proceed regarding delay. The request of the complainant to withdraw the complaint is to be granted.

6. Since information is furnished, no intervention of this Commission is required and hence I pass the following order.

### **ORDER**

No intervention of this Commission is required as information is furnished. The complaint is disposed off as withdrawn.

The Complaint is accordingly disposed off.

Pronounced in the Commission on this 7<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2012.

**Sd/-**  
**(M. S. Keny)**  
State Chief Information  
Commissioner