

**GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
AT PANAJI**

CORAM: Shri M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

Complaint No.140/SIC/2011

Shri Francisco A. Soares,
R/o.H. No.1015, 1st Bairro,
Near St. Cruz P.O.
Santa Cruz, Tiswadi-Goa

... Complainant

V/s.

The Public Information Officer,
Superintendent of Surveys & Land Records,
Directorate of Settlement &
Land Records(Record Sec-I)
Panaji – Goa

... Opponent

Complainant absent.
His representative Shri C.S. Barreto present.
Opponent present.

ORDER
(24/01/2012)

1. The Complainant, Shri Francisco A. Soares, has filed the present complaint praying that the opponent be directed to furnish to the complainant the information sought by him and that opponent be directed to pay a sum of Rs.250/- per day w.e.f. 16/08/2011 till the date.

2. It is the case of the complainant that the complainant vide application dated 16/8/2011, sought certain information under Right to Information Act, 2005 ('RTI' Act for short) from the Public Information Officer(P.I.O.)/Opponent. That the opponent failed to furnish the information even though 30 days have passed. Hence the present complaint.

3. The opponent resists the complaint and the reply of the opponent is on record. It is the case of the opponent that the complainant vide three separate applications dated 4/7/2011 requested to furnish information regarding entry of certain names in Form I & XIV of certain survey numbers of Calapor village of Tiswadi Taluka. That after searching the available records in their office for more than 15 days, the complainant was replied vide three separate letters dated 28/7/2011 that the names mentioned by Complainant in Form I & XIV of the said survey numbers were recorded at the time of undertaking Record of Rights as per Land Revenue Code, 1968 and no any documents are available with their office. That the complainant vide another application dated 16/8/2011 requested their office to furnish the name of office where the documents pertaining to entry of names in Form I & XIV of Survey Number may be available. That after thorough searching of records on 12/9/2011 the office could find out only one document which is in the year 1974-75 and related with above sought information which has been intimated to the complainant vide letter dated 15/9/2011 and was informed to collect the same on payment of fees. That the complainant has not yet collected the available documents and filed the present complaint.

4. The Opponent denies that he has not responded to request of the complainant within stipulated time period. According to the opponent vide letter dated 15/9/2011, the opponent has already informed the complainant to collect the available information and that the complaint does not merit any consideration and liable to be dismissed.

5. Heard the arguments. Shri C. S. Barreto, representative of the Complainant argued on behalf of the complainant and the opponent argued in person.

During the course of arguments, representative of the complainant submitted that information is already furnished. He is satisfied with the same and that he does not have any grievance of

any sort. He prays that he may be permitted to withdraw the complaint.

6. Since information is furnished no intervention of this Commission is required. The request of the complainant to withdraw the complaint is to be granted. Hence I pass the following order.

ORDER

No intervention of this Commission is required. The complaint is disposed off as withdrawn.

The complaint is accordingly disposed off.

Pronounced in the Commission on this 24th day of January, 2012.

Sd/-
(M. S. Keny)
State Chief Information
Commissioner