

**GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
AT PANAJI**

CORAM: Shri M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

Complaint No.24/SCIC/2011

Shri Rudresh Naik,
R/o.Radha Building,
2nd Floor, Near Market,
Panaji – Goa

... Complainant

V/s

The Public Information Officer,
Goa Coastal Zone Management Authority,
Saligao-Goa

... Respondent

Complainant absent. His Adv. Shri Yogesh Naik present
Opponent present.

ORDER
(18/11/2011)

1. The Complainant, Shri Rudresh Naik, has filed the present complaint praying that the records and proceeding before the opponent called for; that opponent be directed to furnish the information sought by the complainant by application dated 25/11/2010 and that the same be furnished free of charge; that opponent be held for disciplinary action and that penalty be imposed.

2. The brief facts leading to the present complaint are as under:

That the complainant, vide his application dated 25/11/2010 sought certain information under Right to Information Act, 2005 ('R.T.I.' Act for short) from the Public Information Officer (P.I.O.)/opponent. That the opponent failed and/or has knowingly not furnished the information with malafide intention and thus obstructing the access of information. Being aggrieved, the opponent has preferred the present complaint.

3. The case of the opponent is fully set out in the reply which is on record. In short, it is the case of the opponent that the complainant was intimated that the reply was ready and to collect the same. That when the representative of the complainant Shri Rupesh had visited the office to collect the requested information, opponent had to go to the District Court in connection with the office matters. That the other office members handed over the documents to Shri Rupesh. However, acknowledgement was not taken. That the representative of the complainant acknowledges of having received documents in the Court premises. That the question of penalty does not arise, so also, about disciplinary action. According to the opponent information was already provided free of cost.

4. Heard Adv. Shri Yogesh Naik for the complainant and the opponent/P.I.O. During the course of arguments, Adv. Shri Naik submits that the complainant has received the information and as such he withdraws the complaint.

5. Since information is furnished, no intervention of this commission is required. The request of the complainant is to be granted. In view of above, I pass the following order.

ORDER

No intervention of this Commission is required. The complaint is disposed off as withdrawn.

The Complaint is accordingly disposed off.

Pronounced in the Commission on this 18th day of November, 2011.

Sd/-
(M. S. Keny)
State Chief Information
Commissioner