

**GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
AT PANAJI**

CORAM: Shri M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

Complaint No.603/SIC/2010

Shri Rudresh Naik,
R/o.Radha Building,
2nd Floor, Near Market,
Panaji – Goa

... Complainant

V/s

The Public Information Officer,
Goa Coastal Zone Management Authority,
Saligao-Goa

... Respondent

Complainant absent. His Adv. Shri Yogesh Naik present
Opponent present.

ORDER
(18/11/2011)

1. The Complainant, Shri Rudresh Naik, has filed the present complaint praying that the records and proceeding before the opponent called for, that opponent be directed to furnish the information sought by the complainant by application dated 03/09/2010 and that the same be furnished free of charge; that opponent be held for disciplinary action and that penalty be imposed.

2. The brief facts leading to the present complaint are as under:

That the complainant vide his application dated 03/09/2010 sought certain information under Right to Information Act, 2005 ('R.T.I.' Act for short) from the Public Information Officer (P.I.O.)/opponent. That the opponent has issued three letters dated 29/9/2010, 8/10/2010 and 3/11/2010 to the complainant wherein the opponent has sought time for processing and compiling information. That the complainant sent a reminder to the P.I.O. for issuance of information without any delay. That the opponent has not given any response thus obstructing access to the information. Being aggrieved, the complainant has filed the present complaint.

3. The case of the opponent is fully set out in the reply which is on record. That the opponent received the application from the complainant and by letter dated 29/9/2010 informed the complainant that some more time was needed since the information was vast and extensive. That two more letters were written to the complainant and the complainant was informed that the requested information was still under process and nearing completion. That the opponent kept the complainant intimated as the information sought was vast and extensive and files since the year 2000 had to be taken out Xeroxed, tabled and then furnished; that a lot of man power was needed to collect the information. That due to shortage of man power the requested information was not able to furnish to the complainant within the stipulated time frame. The opponent denies the allegations of the complainant in the complaint. In short though there was some delay, the opponent furnished the information

4. Heard Adv. Shri Yogesh Naik for the complainant and the opponent/P.I.O. During the course of arguments, Adv. Shri Naik submits that the complainant has received the information and as such he withdraws the complaint.

5. Since information is furnished, no intervention of this commission is required. The request of the complainant is to be granted. In view of above, I pass the following order.

ORDER

No intervention of this Commission is required. The complaint is disposed off as withdrawn.

The Complaint is accordingly disposed off.

Pronounced in the Commission on this 18th day of November, 2011.

Sd/-
(M. S. Keny)
State Chief Information
Commissioner