

**GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION  
AT PANAJI**

**CORAM:** Shri. M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

Complaint No. 105/SCIC/2011

Mr. Lirio Lopes Lobo,  
H. No. 733, Sataporio, Moira,  
Bardez - Goa

.... Complainant.

V/s.

Public Information Officer,  
Mamlatdar Office,  
Mapusa,  
Bardez -Goa

.... Opponent.

Complainant in person.

Mr. R. Mayenkar, representative of the Opponent.

**J U D G M E N T**  
**(16.08.2011)**

1. The Complainant, Shri Lirio Lopes Lobo, has filed the present Complaint praying that the Public Information Officer be directed to give a copy of the application as sought by him vide application dated 26.04.2011.

2. It is the case of the Complainant that the Complainant had made an application dated 26.04.2011 seeking certain information under Right to Information Act, 2005 ('R.T.I. Act' for short) from the Public Information Officer (PIO)/Opponent. That despite several visits by the Complainant even after completion of 30 days and several verbal promises made by the officials of Mamlatdar's office, a copy of the said application has not been provided till the time of making this Complaint. Hence, the present Complaint.

3. In pursuance of the notice the Opponent appeared and filed the reply. It is the case of the Opponent that the Appellant vide letter dated 26.04.2011 has sought the information in respect of mutation case bearing No. 31901 of Moira Village of Bardez taluka. That the Respondent by letter dated 25.05.2011 has informed the

Appellant that the file is not traceable in the office and the efforts are being made to trace the file in question and as soon as it is traced out he will be informed accordingly. That the Complainant had filed Appeal before the First Appellate Authority, i.e. Dy. Collector and SDO, Mapusa and in the meantime the file in question has been traced out and the information as sought by the Complainant has been issued to him. That despite this fact the Complainant has preferred the present Complaint without any substance. According to the Opponent the Complaint be dismissed.

4. It is seen that on 28.07.2011 Complainant handed over an application before the Commission. In the said application Complainant states that he wants to drop the Complaint as the information asked by him has been furnished.

5. Since information is furnished no intervention of this Commission is required. Hence, I pass the following Order:-

### **ORDER**

No intervention of this Commission is required. The Appeal is disposed off.

The Appeal is accordingly disposed off.

Pronounced in the Commission on this 16<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2011.

Sd/-  
(M. S. Keny)  
State Chief Information Commissioner





