

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

AT PANAJI

CORAM: Shri M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

Complaint No. 37/SCIC/2011

Lourenco Fernandes,
R/o. H. No. 734, St. Agostinho,
St. Cruz,
Tiswadi – Goa

...Complainant

V/s

Mr. M. J. Araundekar,
Mamlatdar of Tiswadi,
Panaji – Goa

...Opponent.

Adv. Shri V. A. Kamat for Complainant.
Shri B. Gawas, representative of the Opponent.

ORDER
(11.08.2011)

The Complainant, Shri Lourenco Fernandes, has filed the present Complaint praying that Complaint be allowed and the Opponent be directed to provide the information sought for and that penalty as contemplated under section 20 be imposed on the Opponent.

2. The brief facts leading to the present Complaint are as under:-

That the Complainant, vide application dated 27.12.2010 sought certain information under Right to Information Act, 2005 ('R.T.I. Act' for short) from the Opponent. That on 10.01.2011 the Opponent provided the Complainant with false and misleading information as he provided the Complainant with certified copy of declaration pertaining to some other ration card number. Since information furnished was false and misleading, the Complainant has filed the present Complaint.

3. The Opponent resists the Complaint and the reply is on record. It is the case of the Opponent that the Complainant had applied for copy of declaration vide application dated 21.12.2010 without any declaration dated 31.08.1996 with regard to H. No. 729, St. Agostinho, Calapur, as alleged. That the said application dated 31.08.1996 was complied by application dated 03.09.2010 and the said information was furnished on 29.09.2010. It

is the case of the Opponent that Opponent provided the Complainant the certified copy of the declaration pertaining to old ration card as requested by the Complainant on 10.01.2011. The Opponent denies that any false or misleading information is furnished. In short, according to the Opponent he had already furnished the information as sought by the Complainant by his application dated 21.12.2010 and 03.09.2010. According to the Opponent Complaint is liable to be dismissed.

4. Heard Adv. Shri V. A. Kamat and Shri P. Gawas, representative of the Opponent and perused the records.

It is admitted fact that information is already furnished. The only grievance appears to be that the information furnished was false or misleading. During the course of his arguments Advocate for the Complainant does not press for the same as information is furnished.

5. Since information is furnished no intervention of this Commission is required. Hence, I pass the following Order:-

ORDER

No intervention of this Commission is required as information is furnished. The Complaint is disposed off.

The Complaint is accordingly disposed off.

Pronounced in the Commission on this 11th day of August, 2011.

Sd/-
(M.S. Keny)
State Chief Information Commissioner

