GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION AT PANAJI

CORAM: Shri. M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

Appeal No. 146/SCIC/2011

L. C. D. (Ranjan) Solomon, 149/D, Gina Maina Curtorim,

Salcete - Goa Appellant

V/s.

1) Public Information Officer, Margao Municipalit Council,

Margao, <u>Salcete-Goa</u> Respondent No. 1.

 Director of Municipal Administration, First Appellate Authority, Collectorate Building,

Panaji - Goa Respondent No. 2.

Appellant in person.

Shri Ajay Dessai, representative of Respondent No. 1. Shri Chandrakant Naik, representative of Respondent No. 2.

JUDGMENT (12.08.2011)

- 1. The Appellant, L.C.D. (Ranjan) Solomon, has filed the present Appeal praying that the Appeal be allowed and the Respondent be directed to furnish the information referred in the letter dated 04.02.2011. That the Public Information Officer as well as First Appellate Authority be penalized in terms of section 20 of Right to Information Act.
- 2. The brief facts leading to the present Appeal are as under:That the Appellant vide an application dated 04.02.2011 sought certain information under Right to Information Act, 2005 ('R.T.I. Act' for short) from the Public Information Officer (PIO)/Respondent No.

 1. That the Appellant did not receive any reply and hence he preferred Appeal before First Appellate Authority(FAA)/Respondent No.

 2. That the hearing was fixed but Appellant was orally told that it was postponed. That thereafter the hearing was again postponed so much so that it was adjourned to 17.06.2011. However, the FAA did not hear appeal within the stipulated time. Being aggrieved the

Appellant has preferred the present Appeal. Notice was issued to the

Respondent. In pursuance of the notice Shri Ajay Dessai, Engineer,

representative of Respondent No. 1 appeared.

3. Heard Advocate as well as representative of the Appellant and

perused the records. During the course of the arguments it is

submitted on behalf of the Appellant that information is furnished.

The Appellant is satisfied with the same and the Appellant has no

grievance of any sort.

4. It is seen that the Appeal was filed on 28.03.2011. The same

was received in the office of Directorate of Municipal Administration

on 31.03.2011. It is to be noted here that in terms of RTI Act Appeal

is to be disposed within 30 days or in 45 days with reasons. Hope

First Appellate Authority takes note of the same in future.

Since information is furnished no intervention of this

Commission is required. Hence, I pass the following Order:-

<u>ORDER</u>

No intervention of this Commission is required as information is

furnished. The Appeal is disposed off.

The Appeal is accordingly disposed off.

Pronounced in the Commission on this 12th day of August, 2011.

Sd/-

(M. S. Keny)

State Chief Information Commissioner

2