GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION AT PANAJI

CORAM: Shri. M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

Appeal No. 136/SIC/2010

Lt. Col. Albin Fernandes (Retd.), H. No.203, Maina,

Siolim-Goa Appellant

V/s.

First Appellate Authority
Block Development Officer-I
Bardez - Goa

Respondent No.1.

 Public Information Officer, Village Panchayat of Siolim-Marna, Bamon Vaddo, Siolim,

Bardez - Goa ... Respondent No. 2.

Appellant in person. Respondent No. 2 in person.

<u>JUDGMENT</u> (11.05.2011)

- 1. The Appellant, Lt. Col. Albin Fernandes (Retd.), has filed the present Appeal praying that the information sought by him be provided to him.
- 2. The brief facts leading to the present Appeal are as under:-

That the Appellant, vide his application dated 26.11.2009 sought certain information under Right to Information Act, 2005 ('R.T.I. Act' for short) from the Public Information Officer (P.I.O.)/Respondent No. 2. That the P.I.O. by reply dated 23.12.2009 requested the Appellant to furnish details such as name, address, etc. That the information requested for by P.I.O. was forwarded by letter dated 23.01.2010. That since information was not furnished Appellant preferred the Appeal before the First Appellate Authority (F.A.A.)/Respondent No. 1. That Orders were passed on 19.04.2010 directing the Respondent/P.I.O. to hand the requested documents within seven days. That since the P.I.O. did

not furnish the documents the Appellant preferred the present

Appeal.

3. In pursuance of the notice issued the P.I.O./Respondent No. 2

remained present. He did not file any reply as such but advanced

arguments.

4. Heard the arguments and perused the records. During the

course of arguments appellant submitted that the requested

documents have been furnished. He also filed an application stating

that Appellant has provided the information and that the case be

closed. The Complainant states that he has no grievance of any sort.

He also states that he is satisfied with the information furnished.

5. Since information has been furnished no intervention of this

Commission is required. Regarding delay, some sort of delay is

there, however, the same is on account of the fact that P.I.O. had

sought certain clarifications. In any case the Appellant does not have

any grievance on account of that and hence I pass the following

Order:

ORDER

No intervention of this Commission is required. The Appeal is

disposed off.

The Appeal is accordingly disposed off.

Pronounced in the Commission on this 11th day of May, 2011.

Sd/-

(M. S. Keny)

State Chief Information Commissioner

2