

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

AT PANAJI

CORAM: Shri M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

Appeal No. 19/SCIC/2011

Shri Adika Rama Naik,
Varcha Wada Advalpal,
Bicholim –Goa.

... Appellant

V/s

1) Joint Director (Accounts),
Public Information Officer,
SAG,Campal Panaji –Goa.

... Respondent No. 1

2) The First Appellate Authority,
Executive Director,
Campal, Panaji –Goa.

... Respondent No. 2

Appellant in person..

Respondent No. 1 present.

Respondent No. 2 absent. His representative Smt. Monica Dourado present.

J U D G M E N T **(13/04/2011)**

1. The Appellant, Adika Rama Naik, has filed the present appeal praying that Public Information Officer be again directed to furnish information and for Penalty for not providing the information within the specified time.

2. The brief facts leading to the present appeal are as under:-

That the Appellant had asked certain information under Right to Information Act 2005 (RTI Act for short) from the Public Information Officer (PIO)/Respondent NO.1. That Public Information Officer/Respondent No. 1 provided the information vide letter dated 06/09/2010. However, information regarding point No.8 is incomplete. Being not satisfied the Appellant preferred First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority/Respondent No. 2 and that she was directed to appear on 15/02/2010 to collect the information. It is the case of the Appellant that she feel that they are hiding some information and hence the present appeal.

3. Today, during the course to hearing appellant submitted that information has been furnished. However, some points were confusing. According to the Appellant information has been given the information sought and information furnished were

...2/-

checked and it was found that whatever was asked was furnished. The Public Information Officer is supposed to furnish the information which is available in the material form with the concern public authority. When information given was pointed out appellant submitted that following information has been furnished and she is satisfied and she has no grievance.

4. Now it is to be seen whether there is delay as such. The application is dated 12/08/2010 as per para 2 of appeal memo the information has been furnished on 06/09/2010. Therefore the same is in time. I have also seen from the information that is furnished that the same was kept ready on 06/09/2010 in any case the same appears to be furnished in time. Therefore the question of delay does not arise.

5. Since information is furnished no intervention of this Commission is required. Hence I pass the following order.

O R D E R

No intervention of this Commission is required as information is furnished.

The appeal is disposed off.

The Appeal is accordingly disposed off.

Pronounced in the Commission on this 13th day of April, 2011.

Sd/-
(M. S. Keny)
State Information Commission

