

**GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**AT PANAJI**

**CORAM:** Shri M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

**Appeal No. 228/SIC/2010**

Shri Luel Fernandes,  
136, Cotta Chandor,  
Salcete –Goa.

...

Appellant.

V/s

1) The Public Information Officer,  
The Mamlatdar's Officer (Record of Rights),  
Collectorate Building,  
Margao –Goa.

...

Respondent No.1

2) The First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Dy. Collector & SDO,  
Collectorate Building,  
Margao-Goa.

...

Respondent No.2

Appellant in person.  
Respondents absent.

**J U D G E M E N T**  
**(31-01-2011)**

1. This is an appeal filed by the Appellant, Shri Luel Fernandes, praying that the Respondent No. 1 be directed to supply information sought by the Appellant; that penalties be imposed on Respondent No. 1 and 2; that disciplinary action be taken and that the Respondent No. 1 be ordered to pay the compensation.

2. It is the case of the appellant that by application dated 16/05/2010 he sought certain information under Right to Information Act 2005 ('RTI' Act for short) from the Respondent No.1/Public Information Officer ('PIO'). However, the Respondent No. 1 did not furnish the information. So he preferred the Appeal before the First Appellate Authority/Respondent No. 2. That the FAA also failed to respond till date. Being aggrieved the Appellant has preferred the present Appeal.

3. That in pursuance of the notice the representative of Respondent no. 1 appeared. Respondent No. 2 remained absent. No reply as such is filed. The matter was posted on 31/01/2011.

...2/-

4. To-day the Appellant is present and Respondent No. 1 and 2 are absent. The Appellant has filed an application stating that information has been furnished and he prays that he may be permitted to withdraw and also to condone the said two Respondents.

5. It is unfortunate that PIO did not remain present. In any case PIO should bear in mind that mandate of RTI is to furnish information and that too within the stipulated period of thirty days. The FIRST Appellate Authority too has to dispose off the appeal within the period as prescribed by law.

6. The Appellant wants to withdraw the Appeal as information is furnished to him. To my mind the request is to be granted.

7. Since information is furnished no intervention of this Commission is required. Hence I pass the following order:-

**O R D E R**

No intervention of this Commission is required. The Appeal is disposed off as withdrawn.

The Appeal is accordingly disposed off.

Pronounced in the Commission on this 31<sup>st</sup> day of January, 2011.

Sd/-  
(M. S. Keny)  
Chief Information Commissioner



