

**GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**AT PANAJI**

**CORAM:** Shri. M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

Appeal No. 181/SIC/2010

Shri Albert L. P. Rodrigues,  
27, Lovedale Apartments,  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor, Minoo Desai Road,  
Colaba, Mumbai – 400 005

... Appellant.

V/s.

1) Public Information Officer,  
O/o. the Mamlatdar of Bardez  
Mapusa – Goa

... Respondent No. 1.

2) First Appellate Authority,  
O/o. The Mamlatdar of Bardez Taluka,  
Mapusa – Goa

... Respondent No. 2.

Appellant absent.

Respondent No. 1 in person.

Respondent No. 2 absent.

**J U D G M E N T**  
**(17.01.2011)**

1. The Appellant, Shri Albert I. P. Rodrigues, has filed the present Appeal praying that Public Information Officer be directed to provide the information to send copies of agreements, documents, etc; that penalty be imposed on Public Information Officer and to reprimand first Appellate Authority.

2. It is the case of the appellant that vide application dated 11.03.2010 he sought certain information under Right to Information Act, 2005 ('R.T.I. Act' for short) from the Public Information Officer/('P.I.O.)/Respondent No. 1. That P.I.O. did not send the same as instructed. Being not satisfied the Appellant preferred Appeal. Being aggrieved the Appellant preferred this Appeal.

3. It is the case of the Respondent No. 1 that vide letter dated 08.04.2010 has informed the Appellant that information is ready and to collect the same. That the party has not collected the same which has been kept ready in the office. According to the Respondent No. 1 Appeal is bad and liable to be dismissed.

4. I have carefully gone through the records of the case. However, I need not refer to all these aspects as an application has been filed by Advocate for Appellant alongwith vakalatnama and Power of Attorney, praying to allow the Appellant to withdraw the Appeal. It is seen that the Appellant has received the information.

5. In any case since information is received no intervention of this Commission is required. Request of the Appellant is to be granted and the Appellant be allowed to withdraw the Appeal. Hence, I pass the following Order:

**ORDER**

No intervention of this Commission is required. The Appeal is disposed off as withdrawn.

Appeal is accordingly disposed off.

Pronounced in the Commission on this 17<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2011.

Sd/-  
(M. S. Keny)  
State Chief Information Commissioner