

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
AT PANAJI

CORAM: Shri. M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

Appeal No. 197/SIC/2010

Shri Sagar S. Ligudkar,
V.P. Member, Verla-Canca,
Canca, D'Mello Waddo,
Post Parra,
Bardez – Goa

... Appellant.

V/s.

1) The Secretary,
Village Panchayat Verla Canca,
Bardez – Goa

... Respondent No. 1.

2) Block Development Officer,
Taluka Bardez,
Mapusa – Goa

... Respondent No. 2.

Appellant in person.

Adv. A. Bhobe for Respondent No. 1.

J U D G M E N T
(13.01.2010)

1. The Appellant, Shri Sagar S. Ligudkar, has filed the present Appeal praying that Respondent No. 1 be directed to furnish the information.

2. It is the case of the Appellant that he sought certain information, vide letter dated 01.06.2010, under Right to Information Act, 2005 ('R.T.I. Act' for short) from the Public Information Officer (P.I.O)/Respondent No. 1. That since the same was not furnished he preferred appeal before First Appellate Authority i.e. Respondent No. 2. That Respondent No. 1 on 26.07.2010 agreed to give the information but did not give the same. Hence, the present Appeal.

3. Today when the matter was called out Appellant and Adv. A. Bhobe for Respondent No. 1 remained present. Adv. Shri Bhobe states that

information is furnished. Appellant submits that information is received by him.

4. I have perused the records of the case and also heard the parties.

It is seen that the Application seeking information is dated 01.06.2010. The same was received in the office of Respondent No. 1 on 02.06.2010. It is seen that reply is dated 02.07.2010. This reply is in time. The grievance of the Appellant is that the reply is not as per the R.T.I. Act. Hence he preferred Appeal before First Appellate Authority. It appears that Respondent No. 1 agreed to give proper reply. As per the proceeding sheet Appeal was disposed off and Respondent No. 1 was directed to furnish the information within seven days.

5. Today the Appellant states he has received the information. He is satisfied with the same and has no grievance of any sort.

6. Since information is furnished no intervention of this Commission is required. Hence, I pass the following Order:

ORDER

No intervention of this Commission is required. The Appeal is disposed off.

The Appeal is accordingly disposed off.

Pronounced in the Commission on this 13th day of January, 2011.

Sd/-
(M. S. Keny)
State Chief Information Commissioner

