

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION AT PANAJI

CORAM: Shri M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

Complaint No. 199/SIC/2010

Shri Ajit S. Porob,
Shashi Sadan,
H.No. 133/3,
Palmar- Pomburpa,
Bardez –Goa.

..... Complainant.

V/s.

1. The First Appellate Authority/
The Suptdg. Surveyor of Works,
P.W.D., Altinho, Panaji –Goa.

..... Opponent No.1.

2. Inward Clerk/Dealing Clerk in R.T.I,
O/o The Suptdg. Surveyor of Works,
P.W.D., Altinho, Panaji –Goa.

..... Opponent No.2.

Shri S. Porob for the Complainant.
Opponent No.1 and 2 absent.

ORDER (04/01/2011)

1. The Complainant, Shri Ajit S. Porob, has filed the present complaint praying that the records and proceedings before the Opponent be called for and that disciplinary action be taken against the Opponent's for refusing to accept the applications under RTI Act and also for imposing penalty.

2. It is the case of the complainant that by his application dated 04/01/2010 he sought certain information from the State Public Information Officer/The Executive Engineer, works Div XVIII(R-N), Ponda under Right to Information Act ('RTI' Act for short). That the information was in respect of Miss. Manisha P. Shet. That the information consists of purely departmental documents. That upon receipt of the letter dated 28/01/2010 from the Public Information Officer, the Complainant issued a letter of authority dated 29/01/2010 on the behalf of Shri Rupesh K. Porob to make the payment of RTI fees and to collect the documents from the office of the Public Information Officer. That on 01/10/2010 when the said authorized person met the PIO. The PIO refused to accept the authority letter and also the payment of RTI fees. Being aggrieved, the complainant preferred appeal before the Opponent

No. 1 who is the First Appellate Authority. That Opponent No. 1 refused to accept certain documents to which the said Rupesh Porob submitted the same to the Principal Chief Engineer, PWD., Panaji for needful action against Opponent No.1 & 2 for acting in an arbitrary manner by not entertaining the application of this Complainant. It is the case of the Complainant that opponent has failed to exercise their jurisdiction and to perform their duties vested in him and hence the present complaint.

3. This matter was on the Board of State Information Commissioner (SIC) at the request of the Complainant i.e. representative of the Complainant the same was taken. During the course of his submission Shri Rupesh Porob, representative of complainant, submitted that Complainant has received the information and that he has no grievance of any sought.

4. Considering the contents of the Complainant I have perused the records of the case. It appears that the Complainant Shri Ajit Porob is also known as Kiru Porob. It is seen that application seeking information was filed and from the record it is seen that there was some problem regarding identity. I have also perused the letter dated 29/01/2010 letter of authority. In any case it appears that the problem arose on account of question of identity. Since representative of the Complainant states that he does not wish to proceed further since information is furnished.

5. Since information is furnished. Further intervention of this Commission is not required. Hence I pass the following order.

ORDER

No intervention of this Commission is required. The Complaint is disposed off.

Complaint is accordingly disposed off.

Pronounced in the Commission on this 04th January, 2011.

Sd/-
(M. S. kenya)
State Information Commission

