

**GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION  
AT PANAJI**

CORAM: Shri M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

Complaint No. 388/SCIC/2010

Dr. Ketan S. Govekar,  
R/o. Wadji Bldg.,  
St. Inez, Panaji –Goa.

...

Complainant

V/s

1) The Public Information Officer,  
Mr. Edwitrn Cortes,  
Dhempe College of Arts & Science,  
Miramar, Panaji.

...

Opponent No.1.

2) Dr. S.V. Deshpande,  
First Appellate Authority,  
Dhempe College of Arts & Science,  
Miramar, Panaji.

...

Opponent No.2.

Complainant in person.

Opponent No. 1 and 2 absent.

**O R D E R**  
**(07/12/2010)**

1. The Complainant, Dr. Ketan S. Goveker, has filed the present complaint praying that the information as requested by the Complainant be furnished to him forthwith; that penalty be imposed on the Public Information Officer as per law for denying the information and that compensation may be granted as for the detriment faced by the Complainant.

2. The brief facts leading to the present complaint are as under:-

That the Complainant, vide his application dated 30/01/2010 sought certain information under Right to Information Act 2005 ('RTI' Act for short) from the Public Information Officer ('PIO')/Opponent No. 1. That the PIO/Opponent No. 1 has failed to furnish the required information. Being not satisfied the Complainant preferred the Appeal before First Appellate Authority i.e. Respondent No. 2. that the FAA passed the order, however, failed to fix the period within which the PIO is to furnish the information to the Complainant. Being aggrieved the appellant has preferred the present appeal.

...2/-

3. The Opponents resist the Complaint and the written statement of the Opponent No.1 is on record. In short it is the case of the opponent No. 1 that the information is furnished.

4. Heard the complainant and the opponent NO. 1 and perused the records. It is seen that application was filed on 30/01/2010 seeking certain information. It appears that no information was furnished and hence the Complainant preferred the appeal.

5. It is seen that there is some delay. According to the opponent No. 1 he had to receive the same from other person and that delay is not deliberate or intentional. Complainant also states so. Therefore, the delay is liable to be condoned.

6. Since the information is furnished no further intervention of this Commission is required. Hence I pass the following order:-

**O R D E R**

No intervention of this Commission is required. The Complaint is disposed off.

Complaint is accordingly disposed off.

Pronounced in the Commission on this 7<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2010.

Sd/-  
(M. S. Keny)  
Chief Information Commissioner

Complaint No. 388/SCIC/2010  
Goa State Information Commission,  
Shrama Shakti Bhavan, Gr. Floor,  
Patto, Plaza, Panaji –Goa.

Dated: 10/12/2010.

To,

- 1) Dr. Ketan S. Govekar,  
R/o. Wadji Bldg.,  
St. Inez, Panaji –Goa.
- 2) The Public Information Officer,  
Mr. Edwitn Cortes,  
Dhempe College of Arts & Science,  
Miramar, Panaji.
- 3) Dr. S.V. Deshpande,  
First Appellate Authority,  
Dhempe College of Arts & Science,  
Miramar, Panaji.

**Sub:** Complaint No. 388/SCIC/2010

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith copy of the Order dated 07/12/2010 passed by the Commission in the above referred Complaint for your information and necessary action.

Yours faithfully,

(Pratap Singh Meena)  
Secretary

Encl: copy of Judgment/Order in 2 pages.



