## GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION AT PANAJI

CORAM: Shri M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

Complaint No. 475/SCIC/2010

Shri Albert L.P. Rodrigues, 27, Lovedale Apartments, 7<sup>th</sup>. Floor, Minoo Desai Road, Colaba, Mumbai –400005.

... Complainant.

V/s

Shri G.J. Shankwalkar, Public Information Officer, O/o Mamlatdar of Bardez-Taluka, Mapusa-Goa.

. Opponent.

Complainant absent.

Opponent present.

## <u>**O**</u> **R D E R** (15/11/2010)

1. The Complainant, Shri Albert L. P. Rodrigues, has filed this Complaint praying for a direction that information as prayed be furnished to him; for penalty and also for disciplinary action.

2. It is the case of the Complainant that by letter dated 11/03/2010 the Complainant sought certain information. That the Public Information Officer has neglected and failed to supply the information by speed post as requested and has also neglected to mention the amount to be paid by him. In para 5 of the Complaint other reasons for complaint against PIO are mentioned.

3. In pursuance of notice issued opponent remained present on 17/09/2010 and filed the reply which is on record. As per the same, the opponent vide letter dated 08/04/2010 has informed the Complainant to collect the information, but so far the party has not collected the same. That no first appeal is preferred and that complaint filed is bad in law.

4. To-day Adv. Pradosh D. Mayenker appeared on behalf of the Complainant. He filed Vakaltnama alongwith copy of power-of-attorney.

5. Heard both sides and perused the records.

It is seen that the Complainant, vide his application dated 11/03/2010 sought certain information from the opponent. It was also requested to send the same by speed post. It is seen that by letter dated 08/04/2010, the opponent requested the Complainant to collect the information. This letter is in time. However the Complainant had sought the same by speed post.

During the course of his arguments Adv. Shri P. Mayenker states that complainant has received the information and that he has no grievance of any sort and that the matter be closed.

6. Since information is furnished no further intervention of this Commission is required. Regarding penalty letter dated 08/04/2010 was sent in time. However, complainant had requested to send the same by speed post. Since the Complainant has no grievance I need not refer to this aspect. I need not refer to the aspect of maintainability of the complaint.

7. In view of the above, I pass the following order:-

## **O** R D E R

No intervention of this Commission is required. The Complaint is disposed off.

The Complaint is accordingly disposed off.

Pronounced in the Commission on this 15<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2010.

Sd/-(M. S. Keny) Chief Information Officer