

**GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
AT PANAJI**

CORAM: Shri M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

Complaint No. 385/SCIC/2010

Dr. Ketan S. Govekar,
R/o. Wadji Building,
St. Inez,
Tiswadi – Goa

..... Complainant.

V/s.

1) Public Information Officer,
Mr. Edwin Cortez,
Dhempe College of Arts & Science,
Miramar,
Panaji – Goa

..... Opponent No. 1.

2) First Appellate Authority,
Dr. S. V. Deshpande
Dhempe College of Arts & Science,
Miramar, Panaji – Goa

Complainant in person.

Opponent No. 1 in person.

Opponent No. 2 absent.

ORDER
(07-12-2010)

1. The Complainant, Dr. Ketan S. Govekar, has filed the present Complaint praying that the information as requested by the Complainant be furnished to him correctly, free of cost as per section 7(6) of Right to Information Act, 2005; that penalty be imposed on Public Information Officer as per law for giving incomplete information and that compensation may be granted as for the detriment faced by the Complainant.
2. It is the case of the Complainant that, vide his application dated 24.09.2009 he sought certain information under Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act for short) from the Public Information Officer (PIO/Opponent No. 1). That the Complainant preferred First Appeal and the First Appellate Authority/Opponent No. 2 failed to pass the necessary order. Being aggrieved by the denial of information and also by Order of the First Appellate Authority the Complainant has preferred the present Complaint on various grounds as set out in the Complaint.

3. The Opponent resists the Complaint and written statement of the Opponent is on record. It is the case of Opponent No. 1 that all the available information was furnished to the Complainant. In short, according to him full information has been furnished.
4. Heard the Complainant as well as the Opponent and perused the records. It is seen that by application dated 24.09.2009 the Complainant sought certain information from the Opponent No. 1. It is seen that by reply dated 22.10.2009 Opponent No. 1 furnished the information. Considering the application and the reply the same is in time.

During the course of arguments the Complainant submitted that full information has been furnished; that he is fully satisfied with the information provided and that he has no grievance of any sort. The Opponent No. 1 on his part confirmed that information has been furnished.

5. Since information is furnished no intervention of this Commission is required. Hence, I pass the following Order:

ORDER

No intervention of this Commission is required. The Complaint is disposed off.

The Complaint is disposed off accordingly.

Pronounced in the Commission on this 07th day of December, 2010.

Sd/-
(M. S. Keny)
State Chief Information Commissioner