GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION AT PANAJI

CORAM: Shri M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

Complaint No. 521/SCIC/2010

Dr. S.P. Deshpande, Environmental Planing & Design Consultants, Bldg No.5 Sidharth Apartments, Tonca, Caranzalem-Goa.

Complainant

....

....

V/s

1) Public Information Officer & Commissioner, Shri Elvis Gomes, Corporation of the City of Panaji –Goa.

Opponent.

Complainant present in person.

Opponent absent. Adv. Jatin Ramayya for Opponent present.

ORDER (06/10/2010)

- 1. The Complainant, Dr. S.P. Deshpande, has filed the present Complaint praying that the Commissioner CCP be directed to supply information to impose penalty and also awarding compensation.
- 2. The brief facts leading to the present Complaint are as under:-

That the Complainant noticed about encroachment carried by owners of certain plots and brought this fact to the notice of C.C.P. i.e. Mayor and later to the Commissioner. That there was change in ownership of the said plots and ultimately show cause notice was issued to Shri Amit Monserrate. The Complainant thereafter filed an application to the Public Information Officer Commissioner C.C.P. on 08/04/2010 requesting to provide certain information under Right to Information Act 2005('RTI' Act for short). That on 06/05/2010 Public Information Officer/Opponent sent a vague reply. That on 26/05/2010 the complainant again wrote to the Public Information Officer for information regarding action taken. Since information was not furnished the Complainant preferred a First Appeal. By order dated 17/08/2010 the First Appellate Authority directed the Commissioner/Opponent to make available the information with all details on all three issues raised by the Complainant within a

- 2 -

period of 10 days. That on 27/08/2010 the Complainant received a letter dated

12/07/2010 about show cause, hearing etc. Since information was not furnished the

Complainant filed the present complaint.

3. In pursuance of the notice Adv. Shri Jatin Ramayya appeared and later on

Shri Maralker from C.C.P. also appeared.

4. During inquiry it was submitted that information is fully furnished.

Complainant also states that information has been furnished. The information

regarding action taken has also been given as on the date.

5. I have perused the order of First Appellate Authority and also the information

furnished to the Complainant. The Complainant states that information is furnished

and that he has no grievance of any sort. He also states that he is satisfied with the

same.

6. Since information is furnished no further intervention of this Commission is

required. Hence I pass the following order:-

ORDER

No further intervention of this Commission is required.

The Complaint is disposed off.

The Complaint is accordingly disposed off.

Pronounced in the Commission on this 6th day of October, 2010.

(M. S. Keny) Chief Information Commissioner