

**GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
AT PANAJI**

CORAM: Shri M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

Complaint No. 297/SCIC/2010

Shri Kashinath Shetye,
Bambino Building, Alto-Fondvem,
Ribandar, Tiswadi – Goa.

..... Complainant.

V/s.

Public Information Officer,
Cuncolim Municipal Council,
Cuncolim - Goa.

..... Opponent/Respondent.

Complainant in person.

Opponent in person. .

ORDER
(11-08-2010)

1. The Complainant, Shri Kashinath Shetye, has filed this Complaint praying that information as requested be furnished to him correctly free of cost as per section 7(6); that penalty be imposed on the Public Information Officer (P.I.O.) as per law; that compensation be granted as for detriment faced by the Complainant and that inspection of documents may be allowed as per rules.

2. The brief facts leading to the present Complaint are as under:

That the Complainant has filed an application dated 10/02/2010 under Right to Information Act, 2005 ('RTI' Act for short) thereby requesting the P. I. O., Directorate of Municipal Administration, Panaji to issue information specified therein which was transferred u/s. 6(3) to the Opponent. That the PIO/Opponent failed to furnish the required information as per the application of the Complainant and further no inspection of information was allowed. Being aggrieved the Complainant filed the present Complaint on various grounds as set out in the Complaint.

3. The Opponent resists the Complaint and their reply is on record. It is the case of the Opponent that Complainant was called on two occasions vide letter dated 08.03.2010 and 07.05.2010 to collect the information and to proceed on site.

...2/-

According to the Opponent the information was kept ready. However, the Complainant did not collect on payment of Rs. 118/-. The Opponent also denies the various grounds mentioned in the Complaint. In short, it is their case that Complainant was requested to collect the relevant information sought by him but he did not collect the same.

4. Heard the Complainant and the Opponent and also perused records. It is seen that Complainant alongwith others, vide application dated 10.02.2010, addressed to the P.I.O., Directorate of Municipal Administration, Panaji, Goa seeking certain information. Strangely, request to transfer the same to the Opponent was made. It is seen that by letter dated 11.02.2010 the said application was transferred to the Opponent u/s. 6(3) of RTI Act and the Complainant was informed about the same. It is seen that by letter dated 08.03.2010 the Opponent informed the Complainant to visit their office to collect the information after paying the required fees. It appears that the Complainant did not go to collect the information. It is also seen that by letter dated 07.05.2010 the Complainant once again was requested to visit the office of Opponent and to collect the information. Again, it appears, the same was not collected.

5. During the course of arguments Complainant submits that he has now received the full information and that he has no grievance of whatsoever nature against the Opponent.

Since information is fully received no further intervention of this Commission is required.

6. In view of all above, I pass the following Order:

O R D E R

No further intervention of this Commission is required.

Complaint is disposed off.

Pronounced in the Commission on this 11th day of August, 2010.

Sd/-
(M.S. Keny)
State Chief Information Commissioner

