

**GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION  
AT PANAJI**

**CORAM:** Shri Afonso Araujo, State Information Commissioner

**Appeal No.84/SIC/2009**

Shri Sameer Saunthodkar,  
S/o Manuel Pereira,  
Major age, Private Service,  
R/o No.167, Cacra, P.O. Goa University,  
Tiswadi –Goa.

..... Appellant

V/s.

1) Public Information Officer,  
Govt. of Goa, Director of Planning,  
Statistics & Evaluation,  
Junta House, Panaji –Goa.

..... Respondent No.1.

2) First Appellate Authority,  
Govt. of Goa,  
Director of Planning,  
Statistics & Evaluation,  
Junta House, Panaji-Goa.

..... Respondent No.2.

Shri Sanjay K. Mangueshkar for the Appellant.  
Respondent No.1 in person.

**J U D G M E N T**

The Appellant by request dated 26/05/2009 sought information under the Right to Information Act pertaining to the merit list and waiting list of LDC's and UDC's enumerated at Sr. No. 2 to 12. As the information was not provided within the period of 30 days, the Appellant preferred the First Appeal on deemed refusal and by order dated 12/08/2009, the First Appellate Authority dismissed the Appeal with observation that the information has been supplied to the Appellant and the Respondent No.1 was directed to ensure that no delay occurs in future. This is the Impugned Order.

...2/-

2. The Contention of the Appellant is that he is satisfied with the information provided in the letter dated 17/07/2009 but the information at Sr. No.7 and 11 is incomplete. At Sr. No. 7 the Appellant requires copy of the list of the candidate's alongwith noting sheets of LDC and UDC, which was sent to the Deputy Chairman of the State Planning Board by the Office, as in the said list the names of LDC candidate at Sr. No.3 in merit list is not featuring. The reply to this question is 'Nil'. The Respondent No. 1 is required to provide information in the form it was sought and not merely state that the information is 'Nil'. The information sought was specific and the Respondent No. 1 is required to specifically answer the query at Sr. No.7. The Appellant requires the list of candidates alongwith noting sheet which was sent to the Dy. Chairman and Respondent No. 1 has to provide the information accordingly but in doing so, need not take into consideration whether the name of the LDC candidate at Sr. No.3 in the merit list is not featuring. This second part of the averments made by the Appellant at Sr. No. 7, the Respondent No. 1 to ignore it and confine to provide information on the first part of Sr. No. 7.

3. Regarding the information at sr. No. 11 wherein the Appellant requires a copy of the interview call letter and attendance of the Candidate at Sr. No.3 in the merit list, where he has signed with the name and date, the Respondent No. 1 informed that no copy of call letter is maintained in the office and no signature has been taken for attendance and as such

the Respondent No. 1 provided the information to the Appellant in the form it was sought and there is no question of information provided being incomplete. With the above observations, the following order.

**ORDER**

The Appeal is partly allowed.

The Respondent No. 1 to provide the information at Sr. No. 7 in the request dated 26/05/2009 to Appellant within the period of 20 days from the date of receipt of the order.

Pronounced in the Commission on this 13<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2010.

Sd/-  
(Afonso Araujo)  
State Information Commissioner



