

# GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION AT PANAJI

**CORAM:** Shri M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

Appeal No. 74/SCIC/2010

Shri G. D. Phadte,  
898, Nila Niwas, Alto Torda,  
Porvorim P.O. – 403 521

..... Appellant.

V/s.

1. Public Information Officer,  
Secretary,  
Village Panchayat Penha de France,  
Britona, Bardez – Goa.
2. First Appellate Authority,  
Block Development Officer,  
Bardez – I, Mapusa, Goa.

..... Respondents.

Appellant in person.

Respondent No. 1 in person.

## **J U D G E M E N T** **(14-06-2010)**

1. The Appellant, Shri G. D. Phadte, has preferred this Appeal praying for a direction to provide information and for imposing penalty.

2. The brief facts leading to the present appeal are as under: -

That, by an application dated 25/11/2009, the Appellant requested for certain information as well as for inspection under Right to Information Act ('R.T.I.' Act for short). Since information was not furnished within the stipulated period the Appellant preferred First Appeal. That the First Appellate Authority passed the Order directing to file proper reply to the Appellant and also to hand over documents within 7 days. That the Public Information Officer/Respondent No. 1 failed to comply with the Order. Hence, the present Appeal on the grounds as set out in the Memo of Appeal.

3. In pursuance of the notice the Respondents appeared. The Respondent No. 1 sought some time to file reply. But reply was not filed instead he argued the matter.

...2/-

4. Heard both the sides. Appellant submits that he is interested more in the information rather than anything else. According to him information has not been furnished.

Respondent No. 1 states that the same was given in reply to the application before Block Development Officer - Respondent No. 1 states and submits that he is ready and willing to give the information.

5. I have carefully gone through the records of the case. It is seen that information was sought by application dated 25/11/2009. The same relates to action taken for removal of illegal structure and inspection. According to Respondent No. 1 inspection is given. Appellant does not dispute this. During the course of the arguments Respondent No. 1 offers to give the information. The Appellant agrees to accept the same. The Appellant also states that if information is given he does not press for any other relief.

6. In view of the above, I pass the following Order: -

### **ORDER**

The Respondent No. 1 is hereby directed to furnish the information as per application dated 25/11/2009 to the Appellant within 15 days from the receipt of this Order.

Prayer (B) disposed off as not pressed.

The Appeal is accordingly disposed off.

Pronounced in the Commission on this 14<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2010.

Sd/-  
(M. S. Keny)  
State Chief Information Commissioner



