## GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION AT PANAJI

CORAM: Shri Afonso Araujo, State Information Commissioner

Complaint No. 91/SCIC/2009

Shri Mahesh Kamat, Shivnery Co-op. Housing Society, Comba, <u>Margao – Goa</u>	Complainant.
V/s.	
1) Public Information Officer & Officer of Special Duty, Kadamba Transport Corporation Ltd., <u>Porvorim – Goa</u>	Opponent No. 1
2) Deemed Public Information Officer, Personnel Manager, Kadamba Transport Corporation Ltd., <u>Porvorim – Goa</u>	Opponent No. 2
3) Deemed Public Information Officer, Asst. Financial Controller, Kadamba Transport Corporation Ltd., <u>Porvorim – Goa</u>	Opponent No. 3
4) Deemed Public Information Officer, P.A. to Managing Director, Kadamba Transport Corporation Ltd., <u>Porvorim – Goa</u>	Opponent No. 4

Complainant in person. Opponent No. 1, 2, 3 and 4 in person.

## Dated: 07.06.2010

## <u>O R D E R</u>

The information sought by the Complainant under RTI Act on 05.10.2009 from the Opponent consist of inspection of records pertaining to leave encashment and opting pay scale by Shri Sanjay Goel-Managing Director of Kadamba Transport Corporation Ltd and enumerated at Sr. No. 1 to 16. On 23.10.2009 the Opponent has

...2/-

given part of inspection to the information sought at Sr. No. 1 and Sr. No. 4: inspection was given at Sr. No. 3; and at Sr. No. 11 it is stated that inspection was not given as he was not on deputation and the remaining inspection sought was not given as the same was not available. The Complainant on the strength that the inspection given was incomplete preferred this Complaint.

2. The information sought by the Complainant was by way of inspection which was specifically mentioned at item No. 1 to 16. The Opponent provided this inspection to the Complainant on 23.10.2009 and except item No. 1, 3 and 4, inspection of remaining items could not be provided as they were not available with the Public Authority. The Complainant and the Opponent signed the report of inspection given and not given prepared on 23.10.2009 wherein it is also stated that the inspection of records not given as the same was not available and the inspection started at 11:15a.m. and completed at 01:15pm.

3. Once the records are not available with the Public Authority the Opponent could not provide the inspection of records not available and the Complainant cannot have any grievance as the Opponent provided inspection of all records available and the Complainant was free to inspect them and if the inspection of any documents were not given inspite of the records were available then the Complainant could have made such remark at the time the Complainant placed his signature on this report prepared on 23.10.2009. As the Opponent has provided the inspection of whatever documents available, there are no reasons to proceed further and the Complaint is disposed off accordingly.