## GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION AT PANAJI

**CORAM:** Shri Afonso Araujo, State Information Commissioner

Complaint No.75/SIC/2009

Ms. Vigilia De Sa, 780, Atafondem, Moira, Bardez – Goa

... Complainant.

V/s.

1) Public Information Officer,
Dy. Director of Panchayats (North),
Junta House,
Panaji – Goa

... Opponent No. 1.

 Public Information Officer, Secretary, Village Panchayat Arpora Nagoa, Bardez – Goa

... Opponent No. 2.

Complainant in person. Smt. A. Shirodkar, representative of Opponent No. 1. Opponent No. 2 in person.

Dated: 12.05.2010

## ORDER

The Complainant in the request dated 08.06.2009 sought information under RTI Act enumerated at Sr. No. 1 to 16 and pertaining to illegal construction in open space of Apt. Complex La Goa Azul in Village Panchayat Arpora, Bardez-Goa. The Opponent No. 1 on 10.06.2009 transferred the information at Sr. No. 6 and 7 of the request dated 08.06.2009 to the Opponent No. 2 and by communication dated 15.06.2009 provided the information required by the Complainant. Not satisfied with the information provided the Appellant preferred First Appeal on 31.07.2009 and by Order dated 01.09.2009 the First Appellate Authority directed the Opponent No. 1 to furnish the reply to the complainant. As the Opponent No. 1 failed to comply with the direction of the First Appellate Authority the

Complainant moved this Complaint with the prayers to furnish the information, impose penalties and recommend disciplinary proceedings against Opponent No. 1 and Opponent No. 2 and compensation.

2. The Opponent No. 1 during the pendency of these proceedings produced the reply dated 26.10.2009 to the application for information of the Complainant dated 08.06.2009 alongwith the documents required in the information sought. This information provided on 26.10.2009 is on the same lines which the Opponent No. 1 provided on 15.06.2009. In fact the Complainant requires to know regarding action taken on the complaint made by Antonio Paulo Lobo on 18.07.2008 to the Dy. Director of Panchayats. The First Appellate Authority in his Order dated 01.09.2009 has observed that the Dy. Director of Panchayats (North) has initiated action on the complaint but the same has not proceeded further due to the pendency of the report from the Block Development Officer. All the queries at Sr. No. 9 to 16 are in respect of the action taken report by Block Development Officer, Bardez on the Complaint dated 18.07.2008. Initially, the Opponent No. 1 has transferred the query at Sr. No. 9 to the Block Development Officer- Bardez Block-II. Subsequently, the Opponent No. 1 stated that report dated 06.02.2009 from the Block Development Officer was received and since it was not in the prescribed format, by Memorandum dated 22.09.2009 the Block Development Officer was directed to submit the same in the prescribed format. Once the Block Development Officer submits the report in prescribed format, and it is provided to the Complainant, the information sought at Sr. No. 9 to 16 will be answered accordingly.

3. Since the information sought by the Complaint in request dated 08.06.2009 is provided to the Complainant by communication dated 15.06.2009 and 26.10.2009, there are no reasons to proceed further and this Complaint is disposed off.

Sd/(Afonso Araujo)
State Information Commissioner