

# GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION AT PANAJI

**CORAM:** Shri M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

Complaint No. 52/SCIC/2010

Shri Kashinath Shetye,  
R/o. Bambino Building,  
Alto Fondvem, Ribandar,  
Tiswadi - Goa

..... Complainant.

V/s.

The Public Information Officer,  
Directorate of Food & Drugs Administration,  
Altinho,  
Panaji – Goa

..... Opponent.

Dr. Govekar, representative of Complainant present.

Opponent absent.

## **ORDER** **(12-05-2010)**

1. The Complainant, Shri Kashinath Shetye, has filed this Complaint praying that the information requested by the Complainant be furnished to him correctly free of cost as per section 7(6) of the Right to Information Act, that penalty be imposed on the Public Information Officer and compensation be granted and inspection of documents be allowed.

2. The brief facts leading to the present complaint are as under:-

That the complainant filed an application dated 14/01/2010 under 'Right to Information Act (RTI' Act for short) thereby requesting the Public Information Officer (PIO) Department of Technology to issue information specified therein. That the said application in so far as point at Sr. No. 3 was transferred to the Opponent. That the Opponent failed to furnish the information and further no inspection was given considering the non-action the complainant filed the present Complaint on the various grounds as set out in the Complaint.

3. The Opponent resist the Complaint and their say is on record. It is the case of the opponent that PIO of Department of Information Technology, Government

...2/-

of Goa vide their letter dated 25/01/2010 transferred the application received from the Complainant under section 6(3) of the RTI Act to this opponent with a specific direction to give suitable reply and information with reference to query at serial No. 3 of the said application dated 14/01/2010. That by reply dated 03/02/2010 the required information was furnished alongwith a copy of specimen format maintained for the File Movement Index at the opponent's office. That thereafter the present complaint was filed that information has been duly furnished. It is the case of Opponent that he was asked to furnish information in respect of point at Sr. No. 3 only and not about inspection.

4. Today when the matter was called Dr. K. Goveker represented the Complainant, the Opponent was absent.

5. Dr. Govekar submitted that the information has been furnished and the Complainant is satisfied with the same. He also submitted that the Complainant has no grievance about the inspection.

6. I have perused the records. I have also perused the letter dated 03/02/2010 whereby copies of the format of F.M.I maintained by them is sent. The letter is from PIO, Salim A. Veljee.

In view of the statement of the representative of the Complainant that information is furnished and the Complainant is satisfied nothing remains in the Complaint. It is also submitted that the Appellant has no grievance regarding information.

7. In view of this statement no further intervention of this Commission is required and in fact complaint becomes premature. In any case following order is passed:

Information is furnished. No further intervention of this Commission is required.

The Complaint is accordingly disposed off.

Pronounced in the Commission on this 12<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2010.

Sd/-  
(M. S. Keny)  
State Chief Information Commissioner



