# **GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji –Goa

Tel No. 0832-2437908/2437208 email: <u>spio-gsic.goa@nic.in</u> website:www.gsic.goa.gov.in

## Appeal No.224/2020

Shri Vishal Mankere, Winway Building, 2<sup>nd</sup> & 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 11/12, Block No. 4, Old No.67, Near Madhumilan Square, Tukoganj- Indore-452001

.....Appellant

V/S

1.SPIO/ Police Station Pernem, District North Goa , Pernem-Goa.

2.FAA/Superintendent of Police Station, Pernem, District North Goa , Pernem-Goa.

.....Respondents

### Shri. Vishwas Satarkar

State Chief Information Commissioner

# Filed on: 27/02/2020 Decided on: 12/04/2021

# FACTS IN BRIEF

- 1. The second appeal came to be filed by the Appellant Shri Vishal Mankere on 27/02/2020 through post, aggrieved with the decision of PIO and First Appellate Authority, under section 19(3) of the RTI Act 2005.
- 2. Matter was listed on board and was taken up for hearing. Pursuant to the notices of this Commission, Appellant, though duly served not remain present. PIO, Shri. G.V Prabhudessai , SDPO Mapusa present and filed reply alongwith documents.
- 3. In his reply, PIO submits that the information sought by Appellant was kept ready and an intimation letter was sent to the

Appellant to collect the information from the office of PIO after paying Rs. 54/- as document charges on any working days during office hours vide his letter No. SDPO/MAP/RTI-505/570/2019 dated 14/10/2019 through post by Reg. AD. He also produced the Postal Stamp Register showing the acknowledgement card through which the letter was sent to the Appellant in support of his claim.

- 4. He also submits that, intimation letter was sent to the Appellant within stipulated period without causing any delay. However Appellant did not approached his office to collect the information.
- 5. Appellant was duly served, however opted to remain absent, fair opportunity granted to the Appellant. The Appellant neither remain present for the hearing nor submitted his written arguments / reply on the reply filed by the PIO / Respondent No. 1.

As per the documents brought on record the Appellant was duly intimated by the PIO within stipulated time to pay the document charges, which is acknowledged by the Appellant. This Commission, therefore finds no role for intervention and hence nothing remains in the appeal

Appeal dismissed.

Proceedings stands closed.

Pronounced in open court.

Authenticated copies of the Order to be furnish to the parties free of cost.

Sd/-

(Vishwas Satarkar) State Chief Information Commissioner