GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Kamat Tower, Seventh Floor, Patto Panaji-Goa

CORAM: Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar, State Information Commissioner.

Appeal No. 188/2016

Ms. Lida Joao, R/o H.No. 1001, Bainfol Assolna, Salcete Goa.

....Appellant

V/s.

Public information Officer,
Shivgram Education Society's,
Shri Kamaxidevi Homeopathic Medical College
And Hospital "Shiv Shail",
Shiroda Goa.Respondents

Appeal Filed on: 16/09/2016 Disposed on: 2/05/2017

ORDER

- 1. The information seeker, the appellant Ms. Lida Joao herein by her application dated 26/04/2016 filed under section 6(1) of the Right To Information Act 2005 sought certain information from the Public Information Officer (PIO), Goa University, Taleigao-Goa
- 2. The said application was transferred by the PIO of Goa University to the PIO of Shri Kamaxidevi Homeopathic Medical College and Hospital, Shiroda, under section 6(3) of the RTI Act.
- 3. The Respondent PIO of the Kamaxidevi Homeopathic College who is Respondent No. 1 herein vide his reply dated 24/06/2016 furnished the information to the Appellant.
- 4. Being not satisfied with the information furnished to her by the Respondent No. 1 PIO, the Appellant then preferred the 1st appeal under section 19(1) of the RTI Act 2005.
- 5. As the said matter was not heard/disposed by the First Appellate Authority the appellant being aggrieved by the action of FAA preferred this 2nd appeal on 16/09/2016 there

by seeking prayer for direction as against Respondent/PIO for furnishing her information as sought by her vide her application dated 26/04/2016, for direction for inquiry and for cost.

- 6. In pursuant to the notice the appellant appeared in person. Respondent no. 1 PIO was represented by Mangaldas Naik.
- 7. In the course of hearing the PIO offered to furnish the information and accordingly on 24/04/2017 and 2/05/2017 furnished the information to the Appellant. Sufficient time was given to the Appellant for verification of information. On verification of the information the Appellant submitted that the information as is furnished to her is to her satisfaction and as per her requirement. And further submitted that she has no any grievance against present PIO, as such she is not pressing for penal provision. Appellant also sought leave to file fresh RTI application for remainder information. Accordingly she endorsed her say on memo of appeal.
- 8. In view of submission and acknowledgement made by Appellant, I find no reasons to proceed with appeal. Hence Appeal stands disposed by granting liberty to the Appellant to seek additional information with regards to same subject matter if she desires.
- 9. Proceeding stands closed.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Pronounced in the open court.

Sd/-

(Pratima K. Vernekar)

State Information Commissioner Goa State Information Commission, Panaji-Goa

Kk/-fn